

BUTLER COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
September 21, 2026

A meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Butler County, Nebraska, was held on the 21st day of September 2026 at the Butler County Courthouse in David City, Nebraska, at 9:00 a.m. Present were Scott Steager, Tony Krafka, Scot Bauer, Scott Griess, Brad Vandenberg, Jan Sypal, and Bob Coufal. Absent: None.

Notice of the meeting was given in advance thereof by publication, a designated method for giving notice, as shown by the Proof of Publication attached to the minutes. Notice of this meeting was given to all members of the Board and a copy of their acknowledgement of receipt of notice and the agenda is attached to the minutes. Availability of the agenda was communicated in the advance notice and in the notice to all members of the Board of this meeting. All proceedings shown hereafter were taken while the convened meeting was open to the attendance of the public.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by all present. Chairman Steager called the meeting to order and announced that a complete copy of the Open Meetings Act was available for review in the meeting room. The minutes from the previous meeting were approved as presented.

Discussion/Possible Action – Planning Consultant Agreement

Tim Keelan, Hanna:Keelan, appeared before the Board regarding an amendment to the County's existing planning agreement. Keelan stated that he had been asked to prepare an amendment extending the agreement through March 31, 2027. He stated the amendment included an additional fee of \$18,000. Keelan explained that the zoning committee had met with him and County Attorney Julie Reiter regarding the amendment.

Discussion was held regarding the status of the comprehensive plan, payments under the existing agreement, the Planning Commission's role, and delays in the planning process. Keelan stated that approximately 90% of the original agreement had been billed and that there were additional dollars remaining under the original agreement, estimating the remaining amount at approximately \$5,000, in addition to the proposed \$18,000 extension fee. Supervisor Griess stated that before any additional payment is made, the County should have a complete comprehensive plan.

Keelan stated that a comprehensive plan and additional draft had already been presented and that the process had been delayed by requested changes. He characterized the plan as "fairly conservative" and stated that the comprehensive plan had been substantially completed approximately five Planning Commission meetings into the process. He stated that the public survey had received more than 800 responses and that, as professional planners, his firm had an obligation to consider the public input received through the survey. He stated that he did not intend to move into zoning regulations until the Board of Supervisors adopted a comprehensive plan.

Supervisor Krafka discussed the most recent Planning Commission meeting, stating that there had been frustration among most of the Planning Commission members as they attempted to work through changes to the plan. He stated that the Planning Commission had held what was described as a three-hour "marathon meeting" and that the members had essentially given up trying to work through the changes because they did not have a revised draft of the comprehensive plan. Krafka stated that he was frustrated that the Commission did not have a revised document to review before a public hearing and

said the County needed a revised edition that the Planning Commission could review and then present to the public.

Keelan responded that an initial draft had been presented to the Planning Commission, followed by a second draft on which the Commission made comments, and that a third draft had been prepared but had not yet been distributed. Keelan stated that he is not going to make every change they want, explaining that some requested changes amounted to "wordsmithing." He discussed the conservation district concept and stated that conservation districts are common planning practice in Nebraska. He said he was considering removing the concept from the third draft but emphasized that he also had to follow the input of the more than 800 people who completed the survey.

Keelan further stated that his firm had been planning in Nebraska for more than 40 years and that he did not have the time or inclination to sit at a Planning Commission meeting and take hostile comments or insults from some of the Planning Commission members. He said he was not asking the Board to sign the amendment but was telling the Board that it was in the County's best interest to do so if they want the comprehensive plan finished. He also stated that the Planning Commission serves in an advisory capacity and that members should read the comprehensive plan before attending meetings.

County Attorney Julie Reiter explained that a planning consultant and a planning commission have different roles. She stated that the Planning Commission is charged with assisting in the preparation of a comprehensive plan, while the consultant has professional, ethical, and legal obligations in developing the comprehensive plan. County Attorney Reiter explained that Keelan was not saying he would not perform his job but was identifying where he believed the breakdown in the process had occurred. She stated that the conservation district issue was one issue among difficult issues in which people had become invested and that the statement that the planner is not doing his job is false.

Krafka stated that Keelan had indicated he wanted final payment before doing additional work and questioned why the Planning Commission had held a public hearing without a final draft of the comprehensive plan. Krafka also questioned why a professional planner with approximately 40 years of experience had not told the Planning Commission that it was not ready for a public hearing.

County Attorney Reiter stated that the process had been "a debacle" and said the direction to the Planning Commission should be to finish the comprehensive plan and present it to the Board rather than focusing on zoning regulations. Krafka stated that there had been blame placed on Planning Commission members for being hostile, but that he had attended a meeting at which Keelan was the one being hostile.

County Attorney Reiter responded that if the Board believed she had been yelling at the most recent Planning Commission meeting, it was because a Planning Commission member had discussed bringing in outside legal counsel. Reiter stated that she is the County Attorney and represents the best interests of Butler County; she is the only person who provides legal advice to the Planning Commission.

Keelan stated that, as a professional planner, he represents the best interests of the public. He said he could review the minutes to make sure requested changes were included but stated that there were Planning Commission members with whom he did not agree. He said they cannot do a two-page comp plan and stated that his firm receives criticism that it is not doing its job if it does not make every requested change. He stated that the Planning Commission serves in an advisory capacity, that zoning has rules and parameters, and that Planning Commissioners need to come prepared to meetings after

reading the plan. He stated that he had been accused of various things and was not above defending himself and his firm. He said he needs to consider the public, the rural community, and the information provided through the survey, and stated that members of the public want their property protected.

Keith Carl, Hanna:Keelan, stated that he believed the plan was a good plan and that the County had received an unusually strong response to the citizen survey. He said he felt one issue was insufficient training for the Planning Commission members regarding what it means to serve as a Planning Commissioner. He said the Nebraska Planning and Zoning Association conference had been advertised, and Planning Commission members were encouraged to attend, but only one member attended. Carl stated that the conservation district issue had been discussed multiple times and that the firm was trying to bring Butler County into alignment with counties that have planning and zoning. He stated that public interests in development and preservation extend beyond individual interests and that Hanna:Keelan's role is to provide professional advice.

When asked by Chairman Steager, County Attorney Reiter stated that the Planning Commission would hold another public hearing on the comprehensive plan. If substantial changes were made following the hearing, another public hearing would likely be required; if changes were not substantial, the Commission could approve the plan with those changes. The Planning Commission would vote on whether to approve the comprehensive plan before it is forwarded to the Board for approval.

Keelan further explained that conservation districts were currently included as a land-use concept in the comprehensive plan and that not every land use identified in the comprehensive plan necessarily has to become a zoning district. He stated that traditional and modern agricultural activities had been discussed as examples of uses that could be included in a conservation district, but that it had not yet determined how the concept would be handled in zoning. Keelan stated that the comprehensive plan must be adopted and forwarded to the Board for consideration. He also stated that he had reviewed the County's previous comprehensive plan and noted that it had been approved by the previous Planning Commission but not by the Board. County Attorney Reiter clarified that she was not making a recommendation concerning the existing comprehensive plan before the Planning Commission; she was stating that a comprehensive plan must be approved before zoning regulations can be adopted.

Supervisor Griess stated that the process had become bogged down and that the consultant had not anticipated the roadblocks encountered during the process. Griess stated that he believed the Board should consider amending the consultant's contract.

Moved by Bauer, seconded by Griess, to accept the amendment to the planning consultant agreement extending the agreement through March 31, 2027. Upon roll call vote the following voted Aye: Bauer, Griess, Sypal, Coufal, Vandenberg, Steager. Nay: Krafka. Motion carried. Chairman Steager thanked Keelan for his work thus far.

Certificates of Service

Certificates of service were presented to County employees Kaitlyn Shrewsbury for one year of service, Colton Rahe for one year of service, and Greg Brecka for five years of service. Chairman Steager and HR Director Aida Hickman thanked them for their service to the County.

Discussion/Possible action – Road B between 43 & 44 Roads; rock broke window of parked vehicle

Bev Kudron appeared before the Board regarding damage to the rear windshield of a vehicle parked at her residence along B Road. Kudron stated that the windshield had been shattered and that a rock was

found when the windshield was replaced. She stated the replacement cost was \$615 and requested reimbursement. Kudron also expressed concerns regarding heavy truck traffic, road conditions, gunshot incidents near her property, and the number of trucks using B Road when an alternative route to the highway could be used.

Interim Highway Superintendent Greg Brecka stated that he and Supervisor Krafka had met with Kudron's husband. Chairman Steager advised that a claim would need to be filed with insurance and noted that B Road is designated as a haul road. Supervisor Krafka recommended considering a 35-mile-per-hour speed limit on approximately one-half mile of B Road between the last residence on the south and the bridge. Kudron also stated that the foundation of her house was cracking and attributed it to heavy trucks. Brecka explained that County trucks waiting for traffic often experience significant delays getting on the four-lane highway waiting for traffic.

Chairman Steager asked Brecka whether 35-mile-per-hour signs could be installed. Brecka stated that they could. Supervisor Vandenberg noted that semi and grain-truck traffic is common on rural roads and expressed concern about opening a broader issue. Krafka stated that this location is different because of the number of residences in close proximity. Chairman Steager asked Brecka to research the matter and report back to the Board at the next meeting.

Discussion/Possible action – Utility permit application – Black Hills Energy – Installation of a 2" HDPE gas line under M Road, 45' north of the intersection of M and 34 Roads

Brecka presented a utility permit application received from Black Hills Energy for installation of a 2" HDPE gas line under M Road, 45 feet north of the intersection of M and 34 Roads, expected to begin near the end of October. The work will be completed by boring rather than open trenching, and Franklin Township had approved the project. Moved by Vandenberg, seconded by Sypal, to approve the utility permit. All aye. Motion carried.

Discussion/Possible action – State ROW at intersection of Hwy 92 and NO Road (Benes Service corner)

Brecka said he met with Center Township operator Marty Riha regarding the State ROW at Highway 92 and NO Road near the Benes Service corner, where heavy traffic has affected the area. The State will provide asphalt equipment, the County will replace the culvert, and the State will complete the asphalt work. No Board action was required. Brecka will coordinate the work with the State.

Discussion/Possible action – Decision and timeline for completion of minimum maintenance road at E and 38 Roads

Supervisor Krafka stated that he would abstain from discussion and voting because he is related to the Meisters. Brecka reported that the Road and Bridge Committee recommends installation of an automatic gate at least 20 feet wide. The gate would be owned and maintained by the Meisters at their expense. The County would do the dirt work but would bear no expense for the gate. The gate would remain open and could not be blocked.

Brecka stated that the Meisters generally have cattle on the property until November 1 and recommended allowing two weeks after November 1, weather permitting, for installation. County Attorney Reiter stated that a written agreement should be prepared between the parties. She requested the terms and the names of all adjacent landowners so she could draft the agreement and send to the parties to review. The matter was tabled pending preparation of the agreement.

Discussion/Possible action – ROW Policy & letter to townships

Brecka reported that the new tree/ROW policy had been sent to the townships and that concerned citizens had asked about the time allowed for townships to send letters to landowners and for landowners to comply. Chairman Steager directed each Supervisor to visit the townships in their district and encourage the letters to be sent, with attention first given to the worst areas.

Moved by Coufal, seconded by Bauer, to send the letter to each township and have each Supervisor visit the townships in their district to discuss the matter. All aye. Motion carried.

Roads Update

Brecka reported that the recent rain had slowed work but that the gravel pit was progressing. They have been working to place as much gravel on roads as possible and maintain as well as possible to keep them safe.

Discussion/Possible Action – Appointment of Planning Commission members from Districts 2 and 6

The Board discussed the upcoming expiration of the Planning Commission members representing Districts 2 and 6. County Attorney Reiter confirmed that the next appointments would be for three-year terms.

Supervisor Vandenberg stated that the member representing his district wished to continue serving. Supervisor Krafka stated that the member representing his district likewise wished to continue. Krafka discussed the decline in the number of farmers and the importance of agricultural and livestock representation on the Planning Commission. He stated that members actively involved in farming and livestock operations should be represented and expressed concern that over-regulation of agriculture could be financially harmful to young people entering farming. Krafka stated that the planner may be a professional in his duties, but the County also needs professionals representing the farming community on the Planning Commission. Moved by Krafka, seconded by Vandenberg, to reappoint Kevin Hotovy and Jesse Hough to the Planning Commission for three-year terms.

Supervisor Griess stated that he had attended most of the Planning Commission meetings and, in his opinion, there had been an effort by some Planning Commission members to impede the process from the outset. He cited disagreements regarding the survey, an unwillingness to consider survey results concerning land-use issues, and continuing disagreement about the relationship between the consultant, the Planning Commission, and the Board.

Griess stated that it had been suggested the comprehensive plan could be reduced to two pages, which he said was not comprehensive. He also stated that some Planning Commission members appeared not to understand the role of other agencies or the statutory requirements governing planning and zoning.

Griess stated that the consultant had established an aggressive timeline but had encountered numerous roadblocks and delays. He stated that he believed the Board should reconsider the makeup of the Planning Commission and that he would vote against reappointment.

Vandenberg stated that many of the people present had concerns about conservation districts and that he had spoken with several landowners who were concerned. He stated that the County needs some structure and that he believes the County needs common-sense zoning. He expressed support for the member representing his district.

Krafka disagreed with Griess's characterization of the status of the comprehensive plan. Krafka stated that he believed the plan was close to completion and that the most recent Planning Commission meeting included constructive discussion concerning how the conservation district concept should be addressed. He stated that although there had been disruptive discussion regarding conservation districts, he believed there was now an understanding that the wording needs to remain in the plan for possible future purposes. Krafka stated that he did not believe the comprehensive plan was far from completion. Griess disagreed with that characterization.

Chairman Steager stated that the matter before the Board was whether to reappoint the two current members and that the Board did not need to revisit the entire conservation district or comprehensive-plan discussion. Steager stated that the motion on the floor was to appoint or not appoint the two individuals.

Griess stated that he had already given his reason for believing that reappointment would continue to bog down the process. Upon roll call vote the following voted Aye: Krafka, Vandenberg, Sypal, Coufal, Steager. Nay: Griess, Bauer. Motion carried. Kevin Hotovy and Jesse Hough were reappointed to the Planning Commission for three-year terms.

Chairman Steager announced that, due to the size of the meeting and the current facilities, Board meetings would be held at the Highway 92 building for the near term.

Senior Center/Rural Transit Monthly Report

The Senior Center monthly report was reviewed and accepted and placed on file. The Rural Transit report was not available.

HR Update

HR Director Aida Hickman reported that five applicants had been forwarded to the Board for the Highway Superintendent position. The Board agreed that interviews could be scheduled for Thursday, with the interviews to begin early at the County yards.

Hickman also discussed flu shots for County employees through the Butler County Clinic, as has been done in previous years. The clinic will come to the County to administer the shots, with costs processed through individual insurance. Board members agreed that the opportunity should also be extended to spouses and children. October 19th was discussed as the likely date.

Discussion/Possible Action – Public Defender Contract

Hickman explained that three candidates were selected for interviews and that the committee's recommendation was to extend the public defender contract to Tim Sopinski. Chairman Steager continued that he, attorneys Tim Wollmer, Emil John Paul Svec, and Hickman conducted the interviews and reviewed the proposals, and ultimately proposed a \$2000 increase to Tim Sopinski over his last contract, which he had for five years. Sopinski signed that contract and submitted it for Board approval. All three individuals interviewed were very interested in the position. Chairman Steager continued, "Tim (Sopinski) has been here, he knows the process. He was not the cheapest... we could save somewhere in the neighborhood of about \$12,000 to go with another individual if we choose to do so," and invited board discussion.

Supervisor Vandenberg stated that he spoke with the other individual, Brandon Svoboda, over the weekend, and that he is very interested in the position, "he's local, he lives in town, he wants to stay, and

he's very interested in the job." Supervisor Krafka stated that he had several conversations with Svoboda and said, "He's really gung-ho about this. He wants it." He noted that in the past, before Sopinski's selection as public defender, the County went through a period where we did not have a public defender. County Attorney Julie Reiter explained that with no public defender under contract, there is a list of attorneys who will accept court appointments and public defenders are court appointed and the district pays them \$125 per hour.

Supervisor Krafka continued, "And saying that, during that time period, it cost the county quite a bit of money when we didn't have it (a contract public defender). We've got a guy here that wants to move right to town, and I don't see him going anywhere. His family's right here, they've lived here forever. I think we should consider (him)... he's green, but everybody needs an opportunity." Chairman Steager noted that Svoboda is "very young" in the process and just meets the two-year requirement. He continued, "The other discussion we had is that getting experience for these young guys is very difficult because the young ones are not getting court appointed... some of the young attorneys have a hard time getting cases to gain that experience." Supervisor Krafka agreed, saying, "I think Brandon will be in the Butler County area for quite some time, and everybody needs a chance getting started." Supervisor Coufal added, "It's the only way you get experience." Sydal continued, "So I agree with them on consideration for Brandon for the job."

Supervisor Griess also expressed his support for Svoboda saying, "We spoke a little bit. You (Svoboda) do have experience as a defense attorney, but not as much as Mr. Sopinsky, right?" Svoboda responded, "Yes, I have some private defense cases, then I have been court-appointed in Platte (County) a couple of times and Saunders (County) as well. So I've had some experience, but of course not the years that Mr. Sopinsky does, just from the nature of that."

Chairman Steager noted that Board members had been provided a copy of Sopinski's signed contract, but not a contract from Svoboda. Discussion was held regarding the wording on the meeting agenda; Hickman clarified that the Board was required to vote on the public defender and to vote separately on the contract. Krafka questioned why the Board had a signed contract from Sopinski but not Svoboda. He continued, "We obviously have an interest, the Board has an interest in Brandon. Do we want to see a contract before we appoint him? Or make a decision?" Chairman Steager agreed, "I think that's a good way to go about it, Tony, to have both contracts in front of us." Coufal indicated he would also like to see both contracts. Chairman Steager tabled the discussion until the next meeting.

Safety Committee

Hickman explained that she brought the current initiatives and future direction of the Safety Committee to the Board because they have been focusing on an emergency action plan for the courthouse, highway department, and senior center. She noted that much has been asked of Safety Committee members in terms of meetings and plan development, so they would like direction from the Board regarding the Board's expectations of the committee. Discussion was held; Chairman Steager noted that the work should be done in conjunction with the City's local emergency operating plan (LEOP), which is updated by Fire Chief Mark Sloup on a five-year basis. If an emergency action plan is going to be developed for the courthouse, highway department, and senior center, it should probably be added to the City's LEOP, with the Emergency Manager and Sheriff's Department involved in the process.

Hickman asked if the committee should appoint a chairperson, noting that Kristy Pohl has taken the lead in most of the meetings, scheduling the meetings, etc. She continued that she does not want to place responsibility on just one person, so she wanted to understand the purpose of the Safety Committee, the

Board's intentions for the committee, and whether they are following the Board's wishes. There was discussion about whether each County office should have representation on the committee. County Attorney Reiter stated that someone from the County Attorney's office should participate on the committee.

Lease of County-Owned Real Estate

The lease of County-owned real estate to the Kastl family was tabled until the next meeting.

Appointed Employee Evaluations

Discussion was held regarding evaluations for appointed employees following their six-month probationary periods. The Board discussed conducting the evaluations on October 5th at 11:00 a.m., allowing approximately ten minutes for each evaluation.

Moved by Bauer, seconded by Krafka, to conduct the appointed employee evaluations at 11:00 a.m. on October 5, with ten minutes allotted for each evaluation. All aye. Motion carried.

BVCA Board Appointment

Moved by Vandenberg, seconded by Coufal, to appoint Krafka to the BVCA Board for the 2027-2029 term. All aye. Motion carried.

Budget Discussion

Supervisor Griess thanked County Clerk Aschoff for providing information regarding County-paid health insurance premiums and cash-in-lieu payments. Aschoff also provided Griess with a report concerning miscellaneous expenditures. Chairman Steager noted that the final tax request public hearing and meeting would be held Wednesday, September 23rd, at 9:00 a.m.

Claims

Discussion was held regarding the claims. A claim from the City of David City for \$295.00 was discussed and it was determined that it should not be paid because payment of the City's legal fees is not the legal responsibility of the County.

Moved by Sypal, seconded by Vandenberg, to approve the claims except for the City of David City claim for \$295.00. All aye. Motion carried.

Committee Reports

None.

Correspondence

County Clerk Aschoff advised Board members that the SENDD meeting had been postponed to September 24th.

Public Comment

Chairman Steager limited public comment to three minutes per person.

Jerome Andel addressed the Board regarding the ROW/tree policy and the letters that are to be sent to landowners through the townships. Andel asked why there could not be a specific deadline for the townships to send the letters, suggesting October 31 as a possible deadline. He stated that, for fairness,

all landowners should receive the letters at approximately the same time. He also questioned whether Board members should sign the letters and whether that had been done. Board members discussed the matter and stated that the Supervisors would be visiting the townships regarding the letters.

Jesse Hough addressed the Board and stated that he was disappointed in the earlier discussion and what he believed were personal accusations directed toward him. Hough stated, "I just want to state for the record, me personally, not a member of the Planning Commission. I want to see this thing through." Hough continued, "And I'll do my damndest to do that. If it means me reaching out to people that disagree with me, I'm gonna do it." Hough stated that he hoped people who disagree with him would have open ears so the process could be completed.

Joe Peterson addressed the Board. Peterson began by stating, "This board deserves a verbal reprimand." He said the Board had "ruined the integrity of a process that is supposed to be open to the public" by deciding to reappoint Planning Commission members without knowing who else might be interested in serving.

Peterson stated that a room full of people had been present but not given an opportunity to comment before the Board made its decision to reappoint Planning Commission members representing Districts 2 and 6. He said the Board had made assumptions about what people in the room would say and had cited those assumptions in its reasoning for the reappointments. He stated that those present had come to ask the Board to reopen the application process but were not given an opportunity to speak before the decision.

Peterson said that placing public comment at the end of the meeting was "not encouraging public input" and was "very disrespectful of people's time," noting that members of the public had taken time away from work to attend while the Board members were being paid.

Peterson then addressed the issue of conservation districts and conservation easements. He stated that the distinction had been "cleared up and clarified at the last three meetings." He alleged that a mass text campaign during the preceding week had continued to spread false information and fear concerning conservation easements. Peterson stated that the texts insinuated that he wanted to get on the Planning Commission and suppress people's rights and said, "That couldn't be further from the truth."

Peterson stated that it appeared the Board had voted in line with the information contained in those texts and criticized the Board for not allowing potential applicants, including himself, to clarify their intentions. He stated that the narrative about easements and shutting down farming had been based on false information and had already been clarified at prior meetings.

Peterson continued that he had attended meetings and offered constructive advice to improve the efficiency of the planning process and believed he could have helped the comprehensive plan be adopted sooner. He said, "If you all attended the meetings, or at least bothered to Zoom in, you'd know this."

Peterson stated that several Board members had told him the previous year that he could apply again this year. He then stated, "You lied. You lied." He said he had attended every meeting so that he would be prepared for the application process and stated, "You've wasted my valuable time."

Peterson said he read the minutes from the County's 2007-2010 planning and zoning efforts. He said that process attempted to develop a comprehensive plan and zoning regulations simultaneously, that it did not work, and that the failure appeared to be related to trying to pass them simultaneously. Peterson concluded, "And now, here we are, trying to repeat history."

There being no further business to come before the Board, Chairman Steager adjourned the meeting at 11:16 a.m. The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Supervisors will be October 5, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

Lori L. Aschoff, County Clerk
Scott Steager, Chairman

SEPTEMBER 2026 CLAIMS LIST

ADVANCED CORRECTIONAL HEALTHCARE	\$2,605.40
AMERITAS LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATION	\$16,619.43
APPLIED CONNECTIVE TECHNOLOGIES	\$7,548.30
ASSUMPTION CHURCH	\$400.00
BANK OF THE VALLEY	\$1,000.00
BLACK HILLS ENERGY	\$194.11
BOMGAARS	\$101.94
BUTLER COUNTY DISTRICT COURT	\$121.00
BUTLER COUNTY HEALTH	\$4,152.91
BUTLER COUNTY LANDFILL, INC.	\$12,877.45
BUTLER COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS	\$42.00
BUTLER COUNTY TREASURER	\$2,397.00
CAPITAL BUSINESS SYSTEMS	\$307.80
CARPENTER PAPER COMPANY	\$509.29
CIRCULATION PAYMENT CENTER	\$121.79
CLEARFLY	\$1,282.89
COLFAX COUNTY SHERIFF	\$6.50
COLUMN SOFTWARE PBC	\$30.08
CULLIGAN OF COLUMBUS	\$668.81
CUMMINS SALES & SERVICE	\$617.34
DALE'S FOOD PRIDE	\$21.93
DAS STATE ACCOUNTING-CENTRAL FINANC	\$380.00
DAVID CITY ACE HARDWARE	\$33.22
DC RX INC.	\$200.69
DEANNE UHRMACHER	\$7.10
DON TAYLOR	\$240.00
E.F.T.P.S.	\$18,241.99
EAKES OFFICE SOLUTIONS	\$2,484.08
EDUCATION RIGHTS COUNCEL	\$1,437.50
EGAN SUPPLY CO.	\$75.00
EGR,BIRKEL & WOLLMER, PC	\$1,287.50

ELDEEN M. KABOUREK	\$11.00
FIRST NAT'L BANK: DAVID CITY BRANCH	\$267.02
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OMAHA	\$148.04
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OMAHA	\$1,401.09
FLS LLC	\$275.00
FRONTIER COOPERATIVE COMPANY	\$1,688.10
GARRATT CALLAHAN	\$280.00
GARY NIEMANN	\$240.00
GRAHAM TIRE LINCOLN NORTH	\$588.00
HAMILTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT.	\$19.58
HANNA:KEELAN ASSOCIATES	\$8,550.00
HARTMAN AUTO REPAIR	\$1,287.63
HOLIDAY INN-KEARNEY	\$1,229.55
HOMETOWN LEASING	\$1,486.23
INDOFF, INC.	\$894.34
JACKSON SERVICES, INC.	\$377.51
JANE M. DOBESH	\$16.00
JANESSA RAWHOUSER	\$212.80
JOYCE THORSON	\$10.00
KateLynn Ness	\$100.32
KC LIFE	\$339.07
KC LIFE	\$1,352.29
KC LIFE	\$241.45
KOBZA MOTORS, INC.	\$3,255.43
LANCASTER COUNTY SHERIFF	\$18.76
LANGUAGE LINE SERVICES	\$47.50
LINCOLN JOURNAL STAR	\$952.43
LUCILLE FUXA-CUBA	\$10.00
MARCELLA M. HOWE	\$16.00
MEDICA INSURANCE	\$79,525.99
MIPS, INC.	\$4,012.43
Nat'l Ass'n Extension 4-H Youth Dev. Pro	\$80.00
NE PUBLIC HEALTH ENVIRONMENTAL LAB.	\$210.00
NEBRASKA LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING	\$500.00
NEUJAHR HOME CENTER	\$35.00
NORTHSIDE, INC.	\$881.69
Pacific Window Tint LLC	\$160.00
PAPER TIGER SHREDDING, INC.	\$84.00
PITNEY BOWES GLOBAL FINANCIAL SERV.	\$883.62
PLATTE COUNTY SHERIFF	\$18.79
PLUNKETT'S PEST CONTROL, INC.	\$97.64
RADIO TIME BILLING	\$223.00
REGION 5 SYSTEMS	\$4,827.00
SLOUP LAWN CARE	\$460.95
SPECTRUM ENTERPRISE/CHARTER COMM.	\$390.00

SUMMIT FOOD SERVICE, LLC	\$13,801.98
THAYS SILVA	\$119.99
THE WALDINGER CORPORATION	\$627.50
THOMSON REUTERS-WEST	\$3,542.94
TIMOTHY SOPINSKI	\$6,250.00
TRUKSA AUTO BODY, LLC	\$9,915.80
UNL-HASKELL AG LAB	\$125.00
VERIZON	\$30.02
VERIZON WIRELESS	\$23.04
WASTE CONNECTIONS OF NEBRASKA	\$395.72
William M. Kurtenbach	\$1,925.00
WOOLSEY ELECTRIC, LLC	\$170.00
AFFORDABLE OVERHEAD DOORS	\$541.63
AMERITAS LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATION	\$6,222.69
BARCO MUNICIPAL PRODUCTS, INC.	\$2,668.52
BLACK HILLS ENERGY	\$51.91
BOMGAARS	\$292.77
BUTLER COUNTY CLINIC, P.C.	\$125.00
BUTLER COUNTY WELDING, INC.	\$168.57
CRANE SALES AND SERVICE	\$1,728.75
DAVID CITY ACE HARDWARE	\$265.98
DC DOOR COMPANY	\$127.50
E.F.T.P.S.	\$6,264.05
FASTENAL COMPANY	\$88.92
FRONTIER COOPERATIVE COMPANY	\$1,168.56
IDEAL PURE WATER OF LINCOLN	\$84.00
JACKSON SERVICES, INC.	\$1,191.99
JOHNSON SAND & GRAVEL CO. INC.	\$9,008.63
KC LIFE	\$192.40
KC LIFE	\$677.04
KC LIFE	\$111.90
KIMBALL MIDWEST	\$1,150.37
Kobza Feedyard, LLC	\$34.00
KROEGER SAND & GRAVEL	\$26,290.00
MENARDS	\$147.83
MIDWEST SERVICE & SALES COMPANY	\$4,430.25
NIPPON SANSO MATHESON, INC.	\$48.33
NMC, LLC	\$7,809.05
NORTHSIDE, INC.	\$28,289.47
POMP'S TIRE SERVICE, INC.	\$9,684.36
POWER PLAN	\$16,175.33
RC PIT STOP	\$318.03
REHMER AUTO PARTS, INC.	\$1,383.19
RERUCHA AG & AUTO SUPPLY	\$423.09
SCHMID AND SONS ENTERPRISES, INC.	\$4,259.03

TRIPLE S SERVICE LLC	\$249.00
VILLAGE OF BRAINARD	\$54.44
VILLAGE OF ULYSSES	\$52.73
WOOLSEY ELECTRIC, LLC	\$2,102.45
Truck Center Companies	\$320,080.00
BELLWOOD DAZE	\$500.00
MIPS, INC.	\$341.24
AMERITAS LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATION	\$517.16
BLACK HILLS ENERGY	\$51.26
BUTLER COUNTY SENIOR SERVICES	\$75.80
DAVID CITY ACE HARDWARE	\$20.99
E.F.T.P.S.	\$652.25
EAKES OFFICE SOLUTIONS	\$79.85
FRONTIER COOPERATIVE COMPANY	\$676.51
KC LIFE	\$16.06
KC LIFE	\$99.20
KC LIFE	\$12.61
NORTHSIDE, INC.	\$44.00
T-MOBILE	\$232.53
TIM BEAVER	\$5.38
TRIPLE S SERVICE LLC	\$28.00
WOOLSEY ELECTRIC, LLC	\$1,279.89
AMERITAS LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATION	\$595.71
BLACK HILLS ENERGY	\$99.93
BURESH MEATS	\$639.76
BUTLER COUNTY SENIOR SERVICES	\$241.33
CASH-WA DISTRIBUTING	\$3,360.90
DAVID CITY ACE HARDWARE	\$21.00
DAVID CITY BANNER PRESS	\$5.20
DIDIER'S GROCERY	\$405.38
E.F.T.P.S.	\$635.71
EAKES OFFICE SOLUTIONS	\$48.88
FIRST NAT'L BANK: DAVID CITY BRANCH	\$13.98
JACKSON SERVICES, INC.	\$63.82
KC LIFE	\$17.46
KC LIFE	\$129.67
KC LIFE	\$13.04
Ken Kotera	\$150.00
LEE'S REFRIGERATION	\$1,119.45
MIDWEST RESTAURANT SUPPLY	\$573.38
SUPERSAVER	\$200.50
TRIPLE S SERVICE LLC	\$112.00
WOOLSEY ELECTRIC, LLC	\$5,119.53
STOP STICK, LTD.	\$4,433.00
SARAH RYBA	\$180.51

AMERITAS LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATION	\$1,818.42
E.F.T.P.S.	\$2,060.63
EAKES OFFICE SOLUTIONS	\$222.25
FIRST NAT'L BANK: DAVID CITY BRANCH	\$7.49
KC LIFE	\$56.41
KC LIFE	\$179.62
KC LIFE	\$30.78
APCO INTERNATIONAL	\$4,370.00
CUMMINS SALES & SERVICE	\$308.67
GOVWORX, INC.	\$5,000.00
Lincoln Emergency Communications	\$380.00
UMB BANK, N.A.	\$300.00
A.F.L.A.C.	\$1,478.27
AMERITAS LIFE INSURANCE CORPORATION	\$17,438.62
BANK OF THE VALLEY	\$4,952.50
CAVALRY SPV I LLC	\$571.53
CREDIT MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.	\$171.37
CREDIT MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.	\$479.69
E.F.T.P.S.	\$51,301.51
FARM BUREAU	\$19.78
KC LIFE	\$738.49
KC LIFE	\$650.76
KC LIFE	\$972.80
LEGAL SHIELD/ID SHIELD	\$390.05
LIBERTY NAT'L LIFE INSURANCE CO.	\$204.93
MEDICA INSURANCE	\$15,166.24
NEBRASKA FURNITURE MART	\$790.63
NEW YORK LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY	\$21.09
STATE OF NE/DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE	\$11,101.73
TOTAL CLAIMS	\$828,604.18